

**AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT**

**FLORESTECA S.A**

**PERIOD FROM JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016**



**Contents**

Agreed-upon procedures report..... 3

Appendix I – Floresteca S.A. Operations ..... 5

Appendix II – Harvest Report results 2015 ..... 9

Appendix III – Harvest Report results 2016 ..... 10

Appendix IV – Harvest Report accumulated until 2016 ..... 11

## Agreed-upon procedures report

To  
**The Directors**  
**Floresteca S.A**  
**Jangada - MT**

**Period from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.**

We have performed the procedures agreed with you and enumerated below with respect Final Cut Results and the Thinning Results for the year ended December 31, 2016 to Floresteca S.A. "Company", set forth in the accompanying schedule, Appendix II – Thinning Results and Appendix III – Final Cut Report. Our engagement was undertaken in accordance with the International Standard on Related Services ISRS 4400, issued by IFAC applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements. The procedures were performed solely to assist you in evaluating the validity of the Thinning Report and Final cut Report is summarized as follows:

1. We obtained the analytical breakdown of sales for 2016, and compared the full invoices and volume sold of the breakdown with the invoices and volume sold of the Gross Revenue of the Net revenue summary file 2016, submitted by Floresteca S.A, for the year ended.

2. We obtain the table with the formation of the price, as shown below:

<i>Values in USD</i>	<b>15-20</b>	<b>20-25</b>	<b>25-30</b>	<b>30-40</b>	<b>40+</b>	<b>Note</b>
(+) GROSS PRICE (CIF)	210,60	266,00	361,31	483,11	581,65	<b>2.1</b>
(-) INTL. FREIGHT	(57,50)	(57,50)	(57,50)	(57,50)	(57,50)	<b>2.2</b>
<b>= GROSS PRICE (FOB)</b>	<b>153,10</b>	<b>208,50</b>	<b>303,81</b>	<b>425,61</b>	<b>524,15</b>	
(-) SALES COMMISSION	(4,59)	(6,26)	(9,11)	(12,77)	(15,72)	<b>2.3</b>
(-) TAX ON SALES	(5,75)	(5,75)	(5,75)	(5,75)	(5,75)	<b>2.4</b>
<b>= NET PRICE (FOB)</b>	<b>142,75</b>	<b>196,49</b>	<b>288,94</b>	<b>407,09</b>	<b>502,67</b>	
(-) LOGISTICS	(104,18)	(104,18)	(104,18)	(104,18)	(104,18)	<b>2.5</b>
(-) LOADING	-	-	(3,20)	(3,20)	(3,20)	<b>2.6</b>
(-) WORKING CAPITAL	(2,73)	(3,45)	(4,69)	(6,27)	(7,55)	<b>2.7</b>
<b>= GROSS ROADSIDE</b>	<b>35,84</b>	<b>88,86</b>	<b>176,87</b>	<b>293,44</b>	<b>387,75</b>	
(-) SALES ADM	-	-	(3,50)	(3,50)	(3,50)	<b>2.8</b>
(-) TRADING	(7,29)	(7,29)	(7,29)	(7,29)	(7,29)	<b>2.9</b>
(-) COMPANY SALES FEE	(1,43)	(8,16)	(8,30)	(28,27)	(18,85)	<b>2.10</b>
<b>= RS BEFORE TAXATION</b>	<b>27,12</b>	<b>73,41</b>	<b>157,78</b>	<b>254,39</b>	<b>358,11</b>	

2.1. GROSS PRICE (CIF): We compare the "Gross Price (CIF)" with the selling average price realized by the Company in 2016.

2.2. INTL. FREIGHT: We verify the contract with the carrier and confront the price of INTL. FREIGHT, with the freight price of the FP40 container.

2.3. SALES COMMISSION: Sales commission represents 3% commission on "Gross Price (FOB). We verified that the contracts with the sellers have the commission percentage from 2% to 4%, The Company used average percentage.

2.4. TAX ON SALES: We calculated the 2016 FETHAB and FAMAD cost on cubic meters levied on sales, based on the rates below, and noted difference an amount of USD 1,22:

The amounts to be paid per m3 of wood sold showed the following results:

From January to May 5, 2016 = R\$ 13,45

From May 5 to June 30, 2016 = R\$ 15,69

From July 1 to December 31, 2016 = R\$ 16,44

Annual average calculated = R\$ 15.82 (USD 4,54).

2.5. We compare and test total freight expenses in the year, in addition, we divide these amount by the volume sold for obtained the value of the cubic meter and we did not identify differences.

2.6. We obtained the composition of expenses with loading during the year, based on a sampling, we compared the hour's notes made by the employees regarding loads made on the farms, we divided the total expense by the volume handled and we obtained the value per cubic meter and we did not identify differences.

2.7. "Working Capital" refers to the capital costs that the Company has for the administration and sale of the wood. For this calculation was used the average maturity of 120 days and the average loan interest rate 0.0107% a.d multiplied by the price GROSS PRICE (CIF).

2.8. "SALES ADM" refers to local administrative expenses. We compared these expenses with accounting balance perform tests. We did not identify differences.

2.9. "TRADING" refers to the average expenses per unit based on the the estimated capacity of the office used in Asia to coordinate sales, handling and after-sales.

2.10. "COMPANY SALES FEE" refers to a fee charged by Company as a compensation for work related to selling activities.. This commission varies from 5% to 10% of the "Gross roadside price".

3. Based on "Roadside Prices (USD/m<sup>3</sup>)" calculated from item 2 detailed above and as show below, the Company calculated the net revenue sold.

**Roadside Prices (USD/m<sup>3</sup>)**

Assortment	2.30 A 2.35 m	3.00 to 5.8 m	7.00 to 11.80 m
Firewood	19,35	0,00	0,00
15-20	27,10	57,10	72,10
20-25	73,40	103,40	123,40
25-30	157,80	182,80	217,80
30-35	202,00	207,00	232,00
35-40	298,60	298,60	318,60
40-45	358,10	358,10	358,10

(a)

(a)

(a) The Company splits the prices from 30 - 40 in two, 30-35 and 35-40, for these items the Company uses to real average price and apply the discounts, as demonstrated above items 2.1 to 2.10.

4. We obtained the total amount of expenses classified, presented in "SG&A" file, during the year 2016 and compared with the "Cockpit" file SG&A column and with sheet Breakdown SG&A by the total amount in 2016 and noted no differences;

5. We validated, based on file "Exchange", which contains the daily US Dollar rate from January 2, 2016 to December 31, 2016, 100% of the daily rate used in the spreadsheet and the rate for same day available on the website of the Central Bank of Brazil, and noted no differences;

6. We obtained the Final Cut Results file and the Thinning Results file and validated the calculation of Total Roadside revenues and 2016 SG&A column, and noted no differences;

7. We calculated the Total payable or receivable column of the Thinning Results and noted no differences;
8. We calculated the 5% Floresteca Incentive column of the Final Cut Results and noted no differences;
9. We calculated Total Payable column of the Final Cut Results and noted no differences;
10. We calculated Tax Provision column of the Final Cut Results and noted no differences;
11. We calculated Net Payable column of the Final Cut Results and noted no differences;
12. We asked to local management if the statements disclosed in Appendix II – Harvest Report results 2015 ; III – Harvest Report results 2016; and Appendix IV – Harvest Report accumulated until 2016” was applied in all above mentioned calculations, and there was no negative responses. – Final Cut Results was applied in all above mentioned calculations, and there was no negative responses.

- (\*) All the financial information are presented in thousands of Reais and was rounded out to the nearest thousand when included in this report
- (\*\*) The Company has transferred forest to Floresteca BV and these revenue in not base for thinning.
- (\*\*\*) Attached to this report there is an appendix regarding Company's operations to information purposes only not reviewed by us and written by Company.
- (\*\*\*\*) The “Cockpit”, “BD” and “Net Revenue Summary” files are base data to Thinning report summary calculation.

Because the above procedures do not constitute either an audit or a review made in accordance with International and Brazilian Standards on Auditing or International and Brazilian Standards on Review Engagements, we do not express any assurance on the Thinning Results or Final Cut Report as of December 31, 2016.

Had we performed additional procedures or had we performed an audit or review of the Thinning Results or Final Cut Report in accordance with International and Brazilian Standards on Auditing or International and Brazilian Standards on Review Engagements, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Our report is solely for the purpose set forth in the first paragraph of this report and for your information and is not to be used for any other purpose or to be distributed to any other parties, that have not assumed responsibility for the adequacy, or have not agreed to, the above procedures. This report relates only to the accounts and items specified above and does not extend to any financial statements of Floresteca S.A., taken as a whole.

Jangada, June 9, 2017

Mazars Auditores Independentes  
CRC 2SP023701/0-8



Paulo Alexandre Misse  
Accountant CRC 1SP268349/0-5

## Appendix I – Floresteca S.A. Operations

### Executive Summary

Floresteca S.A. was incorporated on January 12, 1994 and is headquartered in the Municipality of Jangada, State of Mato Grosso - MT, Brazil. The main operations of Floresteca S.A. are carried out in various municipalities of the State of Mato Grosso - MT and its main activities comprise the forestation, planting, felling and clearing of Tectona Grandis (Teak) forests owned by third parties and proprietary forests.

### Objectives

Statements of income were prepared for the purpose of demonstrating to the investors who hold an interest in the volumes, gross sales revenues, taxes on sales revenues, direct selling expenses and net revenue in Real and Dollar for the year ended December 31, 2016.

### Scope

We have performed the procedures agreed with you and enumerated below with respect to the Thinning Results and Final Cut Results for the year ended December 31, 2016 of Floresteca S.A., set forth in the accompanying schedules. Our engagement was undertaken in accordance with the International Standard on Related Services ISRS 4400, issued by IFAC and NBC TSC 4400, issued by the Federal Accounting Council in Brazil, both applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements. The procedures were performed solely to assist you in evaluating the validity of the Thinning Results and Final Cut Results are summarized below:

#### Sales revenues

Revenues from the sale of the thinning and final cut are recorded on the accrual basis of accounting, as of the date on which the rough thinning is delivered to customers and the Company is entitled to invoice them.

#### Translation of Brazilian Reais to US dollars

The net sales revenue amounts presented in U.S. dollars in Statements of income were determined by the conversion from real to dollar in a daily basis, in accordance with the official rates from Brazil Central Bank. These translations are being presented for the convenience of the reader only.

The Receivable constitutes of the part of SG&A expenses which could not yet be recovered by Floresteca S.A. from the Total Net Revenue.

Further the Receivable consists of the total of the Retainers Floresteca is claiming based on agreements with Investors, minus Total Roadside revenues of all projects and minus the amount Accum Paid to Investors.

## Changes from 2015

As a result of discussions with the stakeholders and FSA's parent, FBV, FSA has made several changes in how it accounts for the results of individual farm projects. The main changes are as follows:

Sales, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expenses: These expenses refer to the general overhead functions of managing the farms (accounting, tax, legal, financial control, planning and measurement, etc.), and selling the timber. In the 2015 Report, these expenses were assigned to specific projects, based on revenue generation. For projects finalized through 2016, SG&A has been eliminated, and will be attributed partly as forest management cost and partly as sales related costs (see the table below presenting the impact on the projects finalized in 2016).

It bears emphasizing that as relates to the costs related to Sales activities, there is no change in their impact on final project results, as these expenses will only be accounted for in a different place, as costs deductible in the calculation of the Road Side price.

Forest Costs: Forest costs will now include the costs of specific silvicultural activities on the farms and the indirect overhead associated with them (general and administrative) as mentioned above. The costs will be set at USD 4,500 per hectare, in line with the original management agreement, to provide for the costing of activities from year 8 to final harvest. These amounts will be accrued and payable at the time of final cuts.

Project Results: All revenues received from thinnings will be accrued, as previously, and will be added to the revenues for final cuts. The total revenue of both will be subject to the deduction of the forest costs, determined in line with the retainer as per the Forest Costs item discussed above. Final Results will then be determined by deducting the performance fee and Harvesting Costs on final cuts.

Harvesting Costs: The harvesting costs for final cuts includes the land cleaning costs, permitting the land to be returned to the owners in the contractually agreed to state. As a result, harvest costs have increased compared to prior estimated final cut harvest costs. As previously, harvest costs for thinnings specifically are included in overall forest costs, and have not changed

As a result of these changes, the figures stated in the 2015 harvest report are different from those used in the current 2016 report. The following table shows the original and restated values by main line item, for the 2 projects finalized in 2016, and whose results are directly affected by the changes:

**Summary of Changes in Agreed Upon Procedures (AUP) for Silas and K8 Projects thru 2015 (Restatements) (USD)**

Line Item	Silas	K8	Observations
Accumulated Thinning Revenue 2015 Report	5,603,656	1,392,323	2015 AUP figure was misstated as 667.145.
Accumulated Thinning Revenue Thru 2015 (Restated)	4,503,524	1,250,302	Restatement of the roadside price, based on the deduction of the sales component of SG&A attributed
Accumulated Revenue Final Cuts 2015 Report	3,929,600	98,958	
Accumulated Revenue Final Cuts Thru 2015 (Restated)	2,915,657	82,431	Restatement of the roadside price, based on the deduction of the sales component of SG&A attributed
Accumulated SGA 2015 report	(2,809,786)	(326,771)	Thinning + Final Cut SG&A
SGA 2016 report	-	-	SG&A eliminated, with G&A considered as part of Forest Cost; Sales portion deducted from Gross Revenues
Accumulated Forestry Costs 2015 report	(2,622,600)	(1,493,595)	Assessed as USD 4,500 per hectare from year 8 as per agreements
Accumulated Forestry Costs 2016 report	(2,622,600)	(1,493,595)	No Change
Accumulated Harvesting Costs 2015 report	(539,391)	(15,167)	
Accumulated Harvesting Costs 2015, 2016 Report	(539,391)	(15,167)	No Change
Accumulated Incentive Fee 2015	(169,510)	(4,190)	
Accumulated Incentive Fee Thru 2015 Restated	(118,813)	(3,363)	Change in values due to the lower base of Final Cut Gross Revenue
Project Pre-Tax Result 2015 report	1,868,112	45,539	
Project Pre-Tax Result Thru 2015, Restated	4,138,377	(179,392)	Change in the basis of the calculation, with the removal of the Sales Portion of SG&A, and Total Revenues from Thinnings & Final Cuts considered
Project Results 2015 report	1,587,895	38,708	2015 AUP considered only Final Cut Net Revenue
Project Results Thru 2015, Restated	3,083,697	(159,799)	Considers 15% tax provision minus prepayments; Deductions of Pre-Payments
Accum. Pre-Payments to Investors (through 2015)	433,923	7,316	No change



## Appendix II – Harvest Report results 2015

YEAR PLANT	PROJECT	AREA (HA)	ACCUMULATED RESULTS UNTIL 2015 (USD)						
			TO DATE THINNING VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	TO DATE FINAL CUT VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	TO DATE THINNING REVENUES	TO DATE FINAL CUT REVENUES	TO DATE SILVICULTURAL COSTS	TO DATE FINAL CUT COSTS	TO DATE 5% INCENTIVE FEE
1994	BUR	592,29	32.005	-	815.535	-	(2.665.305)	-	-
1995	K_8	331,91	26.708	601	1.250.302	82.431	(1.493.595)	(15.167)	(3.363)
1995	SIL	582,80	60.568	19.272	4.503.524	2.915.657	(2.622.600)	(539.391)	(118.813)
1996	COC	374,88	1.446	-	32.221	-	(1.686.960)	-	-
1996	PIM	693,42	78.015	-	4.430.165	-	(3.120.390)	-	-
1996	TEN	191,42	3.372	-	85.830	-	(861.390)	-	-
1997	PAI	297,92	18.721	-	362.647	-	(1.228.920)	-	-
1997	PAR	555,05	7.917	-	260.152	-	(2.289.581)	-	-
1998	BOC	431,65	26.870	-	2.346.545	-	(1.618.687)	-	-
1998	CAN	44,62	1.676	-	57.744	-	(167.325)	-	-
1998	COC	133,84	6.926	-	375.280	-	(501.900)	-	-
1998	PAI	93,95	10.012	-	210.714	-	(352.313)	-	-
1998	SIL	24,41	1.352	-	43.553	-	(91.538)	-	-
1998	SJT	26,76	5.455	-	232.004	-	(100.350)	-	-
1998	VDO	351,68	16.172	-	759.211	-	(1.318.800)	-	-
1999	ARA	98,88	7.133	-	150.943	-	(333.720)	-	-
1999	BAM	549,07	242	-	1.251	-	(1.853.111)	-	-
1999	BOC	108,18	7.054	-	550.012	-	(365.108)	-	-
1999	CAS	88,49	6.344	-	182.763	-	(298.654)	-	-
1999	CPB	507,87	45.117	-	1.849.112	-	(1.714.061)	-	-
1999	SER	105,01	2.427	-	64.538	-	(354.409)	-	-
1999	VDO	48,59	1.859	-	92.712	-	(163.991)	-	-
2000	BAM	513,83	3.681	-	19.956	-	(1.541.490)	-	-
2000	DLG	1.527,51	74.590	-	1.187.886	-	(4.582.530)	-	-
2001	DLG	2.136,42	50.238	-	1.198.017	-	(5.608.102)	-	-
2001	SMG	97,52	3.888	-	72.981	-	(255.990)	-	-
2002	BAR	970,20	20.369	-	168.842	-	(2.182.950)	-	-
2002	CMB	571,08	17.368	-	97.814	-	(1.284.930)	-	-
2002	DLG	48,41	4.072	-	143.022	-	(108.922)	-	-
2002	SMG	5,71	61	-	1.217	-	(12.848)	-	-
2002	SMJ	1.085,18	41.197	-	686.062	-	(2.441.655)	-	-
2003	BAR	12,95	596	-	7.437	-	(24.281)	-	-
2003	CMB	10,19	651	-	2.072	-	(19.106)	-	-
2003	SMJ	207,87	11.267	-	170.051	-	(389.756)	-	-
2003	STF	2.562,71	66.043	-	663.132	-	(4.805.081)	-	-
2004	BAR	1.021,00	15.074	-	68.825	-	(1.531.500)	-	-
2004	TST	1.143,17	35.137	-	229.296	-	(1.714.755)	-	-
2005	DLG	207,67	5.033	-	19.295	-	(233.629)	-	-
2006	DLG	233,88	3.694	-	15.612	-	(175.410)	-	-
2007	MUT	539,18	4.798	-	21.611	-	(202.192)	-	-
2007	SAJ	301,30	6.025	-	26.793	-	(112.987)	-	-
2008	SMJII	99,87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>19.528,34</b>			<b>731.175</b>	<b>19.873</b>	<b>23.456.679</b>	<b>2.998.088</b>	<b>(52.430.824)</b>	<b>(554.558)</b>	<b>(122.176)</b>

### Appendix III – Harvest Report results 2016

YEAR PLANT	PROJECT	AREA (HA)	2016 RESULTS (USD)						
			2016 THINNING VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	2016 FINAL CUT VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	2016 THINNING REVENUES	2016 FINAL CUT REVENUES	2016 SILVICULTURAL COSTS	2016 FINAL CUT COSTS	2016 5% INCENTIVE FEE
1994	BUR	592,29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1995	K_8	331,91	-	23.056	-	3.798.564	-	(519.662)	(163.945)
1995	SIL	582,80	-	20.788	-	3.280.009	-	(468.532)	(140.574)
1996	COC	374,88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1996	PIM	693,42	-	5.135	-	773.386	-	(115.731)	(32.883)
1996	TEN	191,42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1997	PAI	297,92	-	-	-	-	(111.720)	-	-
1997	PAR	555,05	-	-	-	-	(208.144)	-	-
1998	BOC	431,65	6.387	-	796.446	-	(161.869)	-	-
1998	CAN	44,62	33	-	543	-	(16.732)	-	-
1998	COC	133,84	-	-	-	-	(50.190)	-	-
1998	PAI	93,95	-	-	-	-	(35.231)	-	-
1998	SIL	24,41	-	-	-	-	(9.154)	-	-
1998	SJT	26,76	-	-	-	-	(10.035)	-	-
1998	VDO	351,68	464	-	7.658	-	(131.880)	-	-
1999	ARA	98,88	-	-	-	-	(37.080)	-	-
1999	BAM	549,07	-	-	-	-	(205.901)	-	-
1999	BOC	108,18	1.366	-	271.011	-	(40.568)	-	-
1999	CAS	88,49	584	-	9.636	-	(33.184)	-	-
1999	CPB	507,87	944	-	15.588	-	(190.451)	-	-
1999	SER	105,01	-	-	-	-	(39.379)	-	-
1999	VDO	48,59	-	-	-	-	(18.221)	-	-
2000	BAM	513,83	-	-	-	-	(192.686)	-	-
2000	DLG	1.527,51	-	-	-	-	(572.816)	-	-
2001	DLG	2.136,42	-	-	-	-	(801.157)	-	-
2001	SMG	97,52	-	-	-	-	(36.570)	-	-
2002	BAR	970,20	-	-	-	-	(363.825)	-	-
2002	CMB	571,08	17.136	-	1.106.456	-	(214.155)	-	-
2002	DLG	48,41	-	-	-	-	(18.154)	-	-
2002	SMG	5,71	-	-	-	-	(2.141)	-	-
2002	SMJ	1.085,18	-	-	-	-	(406.942)	-	-
2003	BAR	12,95	-	-	-	-	(4.856)	-	-
2003	CMB	10,19	273	-	20.132	-	(3.821)	-	-
2003	SMJ	207,87	-	-	-	-	(77.951)	-	-
2003	STF	2.562,71	19.323	-	1.129.868	-	(961.016)	-	-
2004	BAR	1.021,00	-	-	-	-	(382.875)	-	-
2004	TST	1.143,17	14.636	-	814.077	-	(428.689)	-	-
2005	DLG	207,67	-	-	-	-	(77.876)	-	-
2006	DLG	233,88	-	-	-	-	(87.705)	-	-
2007	MUT	539,18	6.081	-	150.231	-	(202.192)	-	-
2007	SAJ	301,30	-	-	-	-	(112.987)	-	-
2008	SMJII	99,87	-	-	-	-	(37.451)	-	-
		<b>19.528,34</b>	<b>67.228</b>	<b>48.978</b>	<b>4.321.646</b>	<b>7.851.959</b>	<b>(6.285.607)</b>	<b>(1.103.925)</b>	<b>(337.402)</b>

## Appendix IV – Harvest Report accumulated until 2016

ACCUMULATED RESULTS UNTIL 2016 (USD)								
YEAR PLANT	PROJECT	AREA (HA)	PRE-TAX RESULT	TAX PROVISION (15%)	TOTAL AFTER-TAX RESULT	RESULTS PRE-PAID TO DATE	FINALIZED PROJECTS	TOTAL AFTER-TAX RESULT (USD/HA)
1994	BUR	592.29	(1.849.770)	-	(1.849.770)	(1.575.371)		
1995	K_8	331.91	2.935.565	(440.335)	2.495.231	(7.316)	2.487.915	7.518
1995	SIL	582,80	6.809.279	(1.021.392)	5.787.887	(433.923)	5.353.964	9.931
1996	COC	374,88	(1.654.739)	-	(1.654.739)	-		
1996	PIM	693,42	1.934.547	(290.182)	1.644.365	(415.416)	85 ha clear cut up to date	
1996	TEN	191,42	(775.560)	-	(775.560)	-		
1997	PAI	297,92	(977.993)	-	(977.993)	-		
1997	PAR	555,05	(2.237.573)	-	(2.237.573)	-		
1998	BOC	431,65	1.362.435	(204.365)	1.158.069	-		
1998	CAN	44,62	(125.770)	-	(125.770)	-		
1998	COC	133,84	(176.810)	-	(176.810)	-		
1998	PAI	93,95	(176.829)	-	(176.829)	-		
1998	SIL	24,41	(57.138)	-	(57.138)	-		
1998	SJT	26,76	121.619	(18.243)	103.376	-		
1998	VDO	351,68	(683.811)	-	(683.811)	-		
1999	ARA	98,88	(219.857)	-	(219.857)	-		
1999	BAM	549,07	(2.057.762)	-	(2.057.762)	-		
1999	BOC	108,18	415.348	(62.302)	353.046	-		
1999	CAS	88,49	(139.438)	-	(139.438)	-		
1999	CPB	507,87	(39.813)	-	(39.813)	-		
1999	SER	105,01	(329.249)	-	(329.249)	-		
1999	VDO	48,59	(89.501)	-	(89.501)	-		
2000	BAM	513,83	(1.714.220)	-	(1.714.220)	-		
2000	DLG	1.527,51	(3.967.460)	-	(3.967.460)	-		
2001	DLG	2.136,42	(5.211.243)	-	(5.211.243)	-		
2001	SMG	97,52	(219.579)	-	(219.579)	-		
2002	BAR	970,20	(2.377.933)	-	(2.377.933)	-		
2002	CMB	571,08	(294.815)	-	(294.815)	-		
2002	DLG	48,41	15.946	(2.392)	13.554	-		
2002	SMG	5,71	(13.772)	-	(13.772)	-		
2002	SMJ	1.085,18	(2.162.536)	-	(2.162.536)	-		
2003	BAR	12,95	(21.701)	-	(21.701)	-		
2003	CMB	10,19	(723)	-	(723)	-		
2003	SMJ	207,87	(297.657)	-	(297.657)	-		
2003	STF	2.562,71	(3.973.097)	-	(3.973.097)	-		
2004	BAR	1.021,00	(1.845.550)	-	(1.845.550)	-		
2004	TST	1.143,17	(1.100.071)	-	(1.100.071)	-		
2005	DLG	207,67	(292.210)	-	(292.210)	-		
2006	DLG	233,88	(247.503)	-	(247.503)	-		
2007	MUT	539,18	(232.543)	-	(232.543)	-		
2007	SAJ	301,30	(199.182)	-	(199.182)	-		
2008	SMJII	99,87	(37.451)	-	(37.451)	-		
		<b>19.528,34</b>	<b>(22.206.121)</b>	<b>(2.039.211)</b>	<b>(24.245.331)</b>	<b>(2.432.026)</b>	<b>7.841.879</b>	